

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/670,771	09/26/2003	Richard David Guarino	P-5840P1	4333
32330 75	590 08/25/2005		EXAMINER	
	IIGHET, VICE PRESI	TSAY, MARSHA M		
AND CHIEF IP COUNSEL 1 BECTON DRIVE, MC 110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	AKES, NJ 07417-1880	1653		
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

the

	770						
Office Action Summary		Application No	D. Applicant(s	5)			
		10/670,771	GUARINO	ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Marsha M. Tsa		_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MA - Extensior after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL SOLITION of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of of or reply is specified above, the maximum statute reply within the set or extended period for reply will received by the Office later than three months after them term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, horeation.  ays, a reply within the statutory more period will apply and will expire, by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timely filed  inimum of thirty (30) days will be consider  e SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	of this communication.			
Status ·							
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>01 July 2005</i> .		·			
• -	• •	☐ This action is non-fi	nal.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims						
4)⊠ Cl: 4a) 5)□ Cl: 6)⊠ Cl: 7)□ Cl:	aim(s) <u>1,29-31,38,65 and 66</u> is/are p Of the above claim(s) is/are aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1,29-31,38,65 and 66</u> is/are raim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from conside	eration.				
Application	Papers						
10) The	e specification is objected to by the Red drawing(s) filed on is/are: a plicant may not request that any objection placement drawing sheet(s) including the e oath or declaration is objected to be	) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be held ecorrection is required if the	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8 he drawing(s) is objected to. See	e 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice of 3) Informati	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT o(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08) 5) L	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Applicati Other:	ion (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/670,771

Art Unit: 1653

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants' election of Group V, claims 30-31, 38, 65-66, without traverse is acknowledged. Claims 2-28, 32-37, 39-64 are canceled. Claims 1, 29, 30, 31, 38, 65-66 are pending and currently under examination.

Priority: The benefit date is August 14, 2003, for the purpose of prior art.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Glass et al. (1996 Biomaterials 17: 1101-1108). Glass et al. teach methods to covalently couple RGD-containing peptides to a cross-linked natural biopolymer, hyaluronic acid (HA) and the characterization of this peptide cell attachment matrix (p. 1101; claim 1). Glass et al. teach samples containing the HA-RGD peptide cell matrix are used in a cell attachment assay for MG63 human osteosarcoma cells. One mL of the MG63 cell suspension was mixed with samples of HA-RGD and placed on a rocking

Application/Control Number: 10/670,771

Art Unit: 1653

platform at 37°C/95% air/5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 30-60 min. (p. 1102; claim 29). At the end of the assay, the samples are transferred to 24-well dishes and non-bound cells removed by washing three times with phosphate-buffered saline (p. 1102; claim 30). For long-term growth of cells, the matrices containing attached cells were placed in DMEM containing 10% defined bovine serum and maintained at 37°C for 5 days (p. 1102; claim 30).

Claims 1, 29-31, 65-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mayes et al. (US 6150459). Mayes et al. teach comb polymers for regulating cell surface interactions wherein a pentamer amino acid sequence (GRGDSP), was used to create adhesion ligand-bearing comb polymers by tethering the RGD to functionalized ends of PEG side chains (col. 20, lines 44-50). The mixtures of the activated comb polymers and the non-cell binding comb copolymers were prepared in various ratios, and cast from solution in toluene onto glass slides to prepare films of the adhesion ligand-bearing comb copolymers for cell culture (col. 20, lines 59-65; claim 1). In example 3, Mayes et al. teach NR6 fibroblasts transfected with wild-type human epidermal growth factor receptor (WT NR6) were cultured in modified Eagle's medium alpha (MEM- $\alpha$ ) supplemented with nutrients (col. 20, lines 66-67). Cells were seeded at 20,000 cells/cm<sup>2</sup> onto comb copolymer films for 24 hours, followed by aspiration to remove unattached cells and application of fresh medium (col. 21, lines 4-10; claim 29-31). In example 5, Mayes et al. teach combs were used to create substrates which present co-tethered epidermal growth factor (EGF) and RGD (col. 21, line 60). Solutions of EGF were incubated on surfaces containing activated combs mixed with

Art Unit: 1653

the RGD combs (col. 22, line 10-13). Mayes et al. teach primary rat hepatocytes were cultured in the solution for 24 hours and were observed to adhere and spread on the mixed ligand surface (col. 22, lines 25-27; claim 30, 65-66).

Claims 1, 29-31, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brandley et al. (1988 Analytical Chemistry 172(270-278). Brandley et al. teach a synthetic nonapeptide (YAVTGRGDS), comprising the adhesive RGD sequence, was covalently immobilized on polyacrylamide gel surfaces. The surfaces derivatized with 2 nmol peptide/cm<sub>2</sub> gel supported long-term fibroblast growth (p. 270; claim 1, 38). Brandley et al. teach derivatized gels were washed in sterile medium and placed in the bottom of 24-cell culture plate wells prior to use. Brandley et al. teach the medium was removed from the derivatized gels and replaced with 0.5 mL of fibroblast cell suspension (p. 272; claim 29). Dishes were gently agitated to ensure even distribution of the cells and then placed in an incubator (p. 272; claim 29). The medium was removed from each well and replaced with fresh medium on the third and sixth days of culture (p. 272; claim 30-31). Brandley et al. teach the fibroblast adhesion to peptidederivatized gels was determined using a centrifugation assay and Figure 1 illustrates the efficiency of the RGD derivatized gels' cell adhesion properties (p. 272; claims 29, 30-31, 38).

Claims 1, 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Campbell et al. (US 20030162289 A1).

Art Unit: 1653

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Campbell et al. teach peptides promoting cell adherence, growth and secretion that may be non-specifically adsorbed, or chemically attached to a surface or formulated in a culture medium to produce the desired effect on cultured cells. In examples 1-5, Campbell et al. teach peptides affecting cell adherence and growth for the cell line MC3T3-E1, a clonal line of murine calvaria-derived osteoblast cells (p. 8, [0080]; claim 1, 29-31). Campbell et al. teach cell maintenance in example 2 and the monitoring of cell growth in example 4 (p. 8). Growth was monitored at the following time points: 1 hour, 24 hours, 32 hours, 48 hours and 86 hours. Media was changed every three days (p. 9). In Figure 1 and Table 1, Campbell et al. show the inventive peptides promote the growth of MC3T3 cells wherein the peptide controls, polylysine and RGDSP, do not (p. 9, [0100]).

No claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 1653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marsha M. Tsay whose telephone number is 571-272-2938. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 4, 2005

JON WEBER
JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER